



Code of Practice:

Antibiotic prophylaxis for patients with prosthetic joint replacements undergoing dental treatment

October 2018

The New Zealand Dental Association has developed this Code of Practice, Antibiotic prophylaxis for patients with prosthetic joint replacements undergoing dental treatment, based on available evidence and expert advice. This Code of Practice provides guidance to members regarding antibiotic prophylaxis for dental patients who have prosthetic joint replacements. The Code of Practice for Antibiotic prophylaxis for patients with prosthetic joint replacements undergoing dental treatment is also intended as a practical resource for members of the New Zealand Dental Association.



Contents

Introduction	3
Bacteraemia from oral sources.....	3
Bacteraemia and prosthetic joint infection	3
Effectiveness of prophylactic antibiotics at the time of dental procedures.....	4
Other considerations regarding prophylactic antibiotic use.....	4
Potential high-risk groups.....	4
International guidelines.....	5
Concluding comments	5
Recommendation	5
References.....	6
Code of Practice Advisory Group.....	10



Introduction

Prosthetic replacement of large joints such as the hip, knee, elbow and shoulder is a common and highly successful orthopaedic surgical procedure. Mechanical failure (loosening or fracture) is the most common complication affecting prosthetic joints, followed by joint infection.¹ Infection of a prosthetic joint replacement is a devastating complication that can lead to loss of the prosthetic joint and serious morbidity for the patient.² Joint infection can occur early (< 3 months after surgery), delayed (3 to 24 months after surgery) or late (more than 24 months after surgery).¹⁻³ The total rate of joint infection is in the range 0.3% to 1.9%⁴ with around 30% (0.09% – 0.57% overall) being late infections. It is thought that most early and delayed infections are caused by micro-organisms contaminating the joint during or shortly after the operation with late infections often being attributed to haematogenous seeding.^{1,3,5}

There is a theoretical risk of oral organisms from a dental procedure-induced bacteraemia infecting a prosthetic joint.⁶⁻¹² Historically, as with bacterial endocarditis prophylaxis, prophylactic antibiotics before invasive dental care have been recommended to reduce the risk of a prosthetic joint infection occurring. Over the last decade or so, however, there has been considerable debate around the need for, and effectiveness of, antibiotic prophylaxis for individuals who have a prosthetic joint. Orthopaedic groups and associations have generally supported the use of prophylactic antibiotics¹³⁻¹⁵ (in a variety of circumstances) and other groups of physicians, infectious disease specialists and dentists have suggested that routine antibiotic prophylaxis in such patients is unjustified.

This NZDA Code of Practice reviews the latest published data on this topic and provides guidance for NZDA members.

Bacteraemia from oral sources

Bacteraemia with oral bacteria occurs following normal daily activities such as eating and tooth-brushing as well as during certain dental interventions.¹⁶⁻²³ The intensity of the bacteraemia caused by normal daily activities is similar to that caused by a dental intervention (e.g. tooth extraction).^{22,24} It has been reported that the overall exposure to oral induced bacteraemia from daily activities (due to the repetitive nature of the bacteraemia) is significantly greater (by several magnitudes) than the exposure from dental procedures.^{16,25,26} The bacteraemia following a dental procedure is usually of short duration with no detectable bacteraemia in 80% of patients after 60 minutes.^{1,16,22,25-28} Any 'invasive' oral procedure, whether it causes bleeding or not, has the potential to induce a bacteraemia.^{20,25,28}

The magnitude of dental bacteraemia from day-to-day routine activities and associated with a dental procedure is related to the degree of oral and gingival inflammation.^{16,23-25,29,30-33} To reduce bacteraemic risk, patients should maintain good oral health through effective home care and regular dental examination (and treatment as required).

Bacteraemia and prosthetic joint infection

Barbari *et al* (2010) reported that the low grade bacteraemia caused by dental procedures and by physiologic activities (<1 x 10⁴ colony-forming units per millilitre (CFU)/ml) was substantially lower than the high-density bacteraemia needed to get haematogenous seeding of prosthetic joints in animal models (3-5 x 10⁸ CFU/ml).²⁹ Most prosthetic joint infections are caused by staphylococci, beta-haemolytic streptococci or gram-negative enteric bacilli. Alpha-haemolytic (oral) streptococci and anaerobes are relatively rare causes of prosthetic joint infection and it is not possible to determine whether these bacteria originate from the mouth or further down the gastrointestinal tract.³¹

A recent retrospective epidemiologic analysis of over 57,000 patients with prosthetic hip or knee replacements and who received dental treatment showed no increased risk of prosthetic joint infection after the dental procedure.³⁵



Comprehensive reviews of the literature have **failed to demonstrate substantive evidence** of haematogenous infection of prosthetic joints by oral organisms following dental procedures^{1,2,7,9,26,29,35-47}

Patients with prosthetic joint replacements who present with acute oral infections should receive prompt treatment to remove the source of infection and therapeutic antibiotics should be administered when indicated.

Effectiveness of prophylactic antibiotics at the time of dental procedures

The efficacy of pre-treatment antibiotic prophylaxis in preventing a subsequent transient bacteraemia is equivocal with evidence suggesting that bacteraemia cannot be avoided in all cases, although with prophylaxis any bacteraemia is likely to contain fewer bacterial species.^{18,23,24,26,27,48-55} Uçkay *et al* (2008) completed a systematic review analysing 144 studies (including 23 prospective studies but no randomised controlled trials) with respect to the effectiveness of antibacterial prophylaxis in preventing prosthetic joint infection and concluded that the evidence supporting the efficacy of antibacterial prophylaxis is at best very weak.¹

Berbari *et al* (2010) reported in a case control study that antibiotic prophylaxis in high-risk or low-risk dental procedures did not decrease the risk of subsequent total hip or knee infection (adjusted OR, 0.9 [95% CI, 0.5–1.6] and 1.2 [95% CI, 0.7–2.2], respectively) and concluded that pre-procedural antibacterial prophylaxis in patients receiving dental procedures did not alter the risk of subsequent joint infection.²⁹

A recent non-randomised, retrospective comparison of 6,500 matched pairs of patients with prosthetic hip or knee replacements who underwent a dental procedure showed no difference in the risk of prosthetic joint infection between those patients given antibiotic prophylaxis and those who were not.³⁵

Antibiotic prophylaxis prior to dental procedures may reduce the risk and intensity of any bacteraemia, but there is no evidence that antibiotic prophylaxis reduces or eliminates the risk of late prosthetic joint infection.^{1,29,43} Antibiotic prophylaxis is not indicated for dental procedures in patients who have osseous pins, plates and screws.⁵⁶

Other considerations regarding prophylactic antibiotic use

The provision of antibiotic prophylaxis carries risks. For example, the frequency of anaphylaxis to penicillin group antibiotics is reported as 1-5 in 10,000 cases of penicillin therapy.^{57,58} The increasingly problematic issue of bacterial resistance to antibiotics with the associated increase in morbidity and mortality requires practitioners to exercise prescribing restraint and only administer antibiotics where the evidence supports their use.

Potential high-risk groups

In the past, there has been some support for the use of prophylactic antibiotics in a group of patients who, because of severe immune deficiency or past prosthetic joint infection, may be at an increased risk of haematogenous infection of a prosthetic joint associated with an 'invasive' dental procedure.^{a 2,9,11,12,26,36} It should be noted that other authors suggest the risk is overstated.^{29,53,47,59} Kao *et al* (2017) evaluation of prosthetic joint infections after dental procedures showed no causative link and no benefit from prophylactic antibiotics in a cohort that included tens of thousands of patients with co-morbidities such as chronic liver disease, connective tissue disease, diabetes and cancer.³⁵

^a All dental procedures that involve manipulation of the gingival tissues or the periapical region of the teeth or perforation of the oral mucosa⁶⁰



International guidelines

The use of antibiotic prophylaxis for patients with prosthetic joints undergoing dental treatment is not recommended in Australia,⁶¹ the United Kingdom⁶², Canada⁶³, the United States of America.⁶⁴ or Holland.⁴⁷

Concluding comments

The compelling data showing no association between dental procedures and prosthetic joint infection, the lack of data showing benefit of antibiotic prophylaxis to prevent dental procedure-associated prosthetic joint infection, and the risk of antibiotic-associated complications and resistance pressure all argue strongly against the prophylactic use of antibiotics before dental procedures for the purposes of preventing prosthetic joint infection including for immune-compromised patients.

Recommendation

Antibiotic prophylaxis for the purpose of preventing prosthetic joint infection in individuals undergoing dental procedures is not recommended.



References

1. Uckay I, Pittet D, Bernard L, Lew D, Perrier A, Peter R. Antibiotic prophylaxis before invasive dental procedures in patients with arthroplasties of the hip and knee. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2008;90(7):833-104
2. Tong DC, Rothwell BR. Antibiotic prophylaxis in dentistry: A review and practice recommendations. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2000;131(3):366-373
3. Zimmerli W, Trampuz A, Ochsner PE. Prosthetic joint infections. *New Engl J Med* 2004;351(16):1645-1654
4. Del Pozo JL, Patel R. Infection associated with prosthetic joints. *N Engl J Med* 2009; 361(8):787-794
5. Giulieri SG, Graber P, Ochsner PE, Zimmerli W. Management of infection associated with total hip arthroplasty according to a treatment algorithm. *Infection* 2004;32(4):222-228
6. Jacobsen JJ, Murray W. Prophylactic coverage of dental patients with artificial joints: A retrospective analysis of thirty-three infections in hip prostheses. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Path* 1980;5 (2):130-133
7. Ainscow DA, Denham RA. The risk of haematogenous infection in total joint replacements. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1984;66(4):580-582
8. Lindqvist C, Slätis P. Dental bacteremia: a neglected cause of arthroplasty infections? Three hip cases. *Acta Orthop Scand* 1985;56(6):506-508
9. Jacobsen JJ, Millard HD, Plezia R, Blankenship JR. Dental treatment and late prosthetic joint infections. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Path* 1986;61(6):413-417
10. Jacobsen JJ, Matthews LS. Bacteria isolated from late prosthetic joint infections: dental treatment and chemoprophylaxis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Path* 1987;63(1):122-126
11. Waldman BJ, Mont MA, Hungerford DS. Total knee arthroplasty infections associated with dental procedures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1997;(343):164-172
12. La Porte DM, Waldman BJ, Mont MA, Hungerford DS. Infections associated with dental procedures in total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1999;81(1):56-59
13. McNally CM, Visvanathan R, Liberali S, Adams RJ. Antibiotic prophylaxis for dental treatment after prosthetic joint replacement: exploring the orthopaedic surgeon's opinion. *Arthroplast Today* 2016;2(3):123-126
14. Colterjohn T, de Beer J, Petruccelli D, Zabtia N, Winemaker M. Antibiotic prophylaxis for dental procedures at risk of causing bacteremia among posttotal joint arthroplasty patients: a survey of Canadian orthopaedic surgeons and dental surgeons. *J Arthroplasty* 2014;29(6):1091-1097
15. Guntheroth WG. How important are dental procedures as a cause of infective endocarditis? *Am J Cardio* 1984;54(7):797-801
16. Tong D, Theis J-C. Antibiotic prophylaxis and invasive dental treatment in prosthetic joint patients. *J NZ Med Assoc* 2008;121(1280):45-52
17. van der Meer JT, Van Wijk W, Thompson J, Vandenbroucke JP, Valkenburg HA, Michel MF. Efficacy of antibiotic prophylaxis for prevention of native-valve endocarditis. *Lancet* 1992; 339(8786):135-139
18. Hall G, Nord CE, Heimdahl A. Elimination of bacteraemia after dental extraction: Comparison of erythromycin and clindamycin for prophylaxis of infective endocarditis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1996;37(4):783-795



19. Lockhart PB, Brennan MT, Fox PC, Norton HJ, Jernigan DB, Strausbaugh LJ. Decision-making on the use of antimicrobial prophylaxis for dental procedures: A survey of infectious disease consultants and review. *Clin Infect Dis* 2002;34(12):1621-1626
20. Roberts GJ, Gardner P, Longhurst P, Black AE, Lucas VS. Intensity of bacteraemia associated with conservative dental procedures in children. *Br Dent J* 2000;188(2):95-98
21. Roda RP, Jimenez Y, Carbonell E, Gavalga C, Munoz MM, Perez GS. Bacteraemia originating in the oral cavity. A review. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2008;13(6):E355-E362
22. Lockhart PB, Brennan MT, Sasser HC, Fox PC, Paster BJ, Bahrani-Mougeot FK. Bacteraemia associated with toothbrushing and dental extraction. *Circulation* 2008;117(24):3118-25
23. Lockhart PB, Brennan MT, Thornhill M, Michalowicz BS, Noll J, Bahrani-Mougeot FK, Sasser HC. Poor oral hygiene as a risk factor for infective endocarditis-related bacteraemia. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2009;140(10):1238-1244
24. Wilson W, Taubert KA, Gewitz M, Lockhart PB, Baddour LM, Levison M, Bolger A, Cabell CH, Takahashi M, Baltimore RS, Newburger JW, Strom BL, Tani LY, Gerber M, Bonow RO, Pallasch T, Shulman ST, Rowley AH, Burns JC, Ferrieri P, Gardner T, Goff D, Durack DT. Prevention of infective endocarditis – Guidelines from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2007;116: 1736-1754
25. Roberts GJ. Dentists are innocent! “Everyday” bacteremia is the real culprit: A review and assessment of the evidence that dental surgical procedures are a principal cause of bacterial endocarditis in children. *Pediatr Cardiol* 1999;20(5):317-325
26. Guay DR. Antimicrobial prophylaxis in noncardiac prosthetic device recipients. *Hosp Pract* 2012;40(1): 44-74
27. Lockhart PB, Brennan MT, Kent ML, Norton HJ, Weinrib DA. Impact of amoxicillin prophylaxis on the incidence, nature, and duration of bacteremia in children after intubation and dental procedures. *Circulation* 2004;109(23):2878-2884
28. Tomás I, Alvarez M, Limeres J, Potel C, Medina J, Diz P. Prevalence, duration, and aetiology of bacteremia following dental extractions. *Oral Dis* 2007;13(1):56-62.
29. Berbari EF, Osmon DR, Carr A, Hanssen AD, Baddour LM, Greene D, Kupp LI, Baughan LW, Harmsen WS, Mandrekar JN, Therneau TM, Steckelberg JM, Virk A, Wilson WR. Dental procedures as risk factors for prosthetic hip or knee infection: A hospital-based prospective case-control study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010;50(1):8-16
30. Lockhart PB. An analysis of bacteremias during dental extractions. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of chlorhexidine. *Arch Intern Med* 1996;156(5):513-520
31. Bender IB, Naidorf IJ, Garvey GJ. Bacterial endocarditis: A consideration for physician and dentist. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1984;109(3):415-420
32. Everett ED, Hirschmann JV. Transient bacteraemia and endocarditis prophylaxis: a review. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 1977;56(1):61-77
33. Forner L, Larsen T, Kilian M, Holmstrum P. Incidence of bacteraemia after chewing, tooth brushing and scaling in individuals with periodontal inflammation. *J Clin Perio* 2006;33(6):401-407
34. Tande AJ, Patel R. Prosthetic joint infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2014;27(2):302-345



35. Kao FC, Hsu YC, Chen WH, Lin JN, *et al.* Prosthetic joint infection following invasive dental procedures and antibiotic prophylaxis in patients with hip or knee arthroplasty. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2017;38(2):154-161
36. Thyne GM, Ferguson JW Antibiotic prophylaxis during dental treatment in patients with prosthetic joints. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1991;73-B(2):191-194
37. Scott JF, Morgan D, Avent M, Graves S, Goss AN. Patients with artificial joints; do they need antibiotic cover for dental treatment? *Aust Dent J* 2005;50(Suppl 2):S45-S53
38. Vandercam B, Jeumont S, Cornu O, Yombi JC, Lecouvet F, Lefevre P, Irengue LM, Gala JL Amplification-based DNA analysis in the diagnosis of prosthetic joint infection *J Mol Diagn* 2008;10(6):537-43
39. Napeñas JJ, Lockhart PB, Epstein JB. Comment on the 2009 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons' Information statement on antibiotic prophylaxis for bacteraemia in patients with joint replacements. *J Can Dent Assoc* 2009;75(6):447-449
40. Oswald TF, Gould FK Dental treatment and prosthetic joints Antibiotics are not the answer *J Bone Joint Surg* 2008;90-B(7):825-826
41. Ching DW, Gould IM, Rennie JA, Gibson PI. Prevention of late haematogenous infection in major prosthetic joints. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1989;23(5):676-680
42. Rodgers J, Richards D. No evidence to link prosthetic joint infections with dental procedures. *Evid Base Dent* 2008;9(4):103-104
43. Aminoshariae A, Kulild J. Premedication of patients under-going dental procedures causing bacteremia after total joint arthroplasty. *J Endod* 2010;36(6):974-7
44. Jevsevar DS. Shared decision making tool: should I take antibiotics before my dental procedure? *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2013;21(3):190-192
45. Sollecito TP, Abt E, Lockhart PB, Truelove E, Paumier TM, Tracy SL, *et al.* The use of prophylactic antibiotics prior to dental procedures in patients with prosthetic joints: *J Am Dent Assoc* 2015;146(1):11-16.e8
46. Sendi P, Uçkay I, Suva D, Vogt M *et al.* Antibiotic prophylaxis during dental procedures in patients with prosthetic joints. *J Bone Jt Infect* 2016;1:42-49
47. Rademacher WMH, Walenkamp GHIM, Moojen DJ, Hendricks JGE *et al* Antibiotic prophylaxis is not indicated prior to dental procedures for the prevention of periprosthetic joint infections. *Acta Orthopaed* 2017;88(5):568-574
48. van der Meer JT, Thompson J, Valkenburg HA, Michel MF. Epidemiology of bacterial endocarditis in the Netherlands: II. Antecedent procedures and use of prophylaxis. *Arch Intern Med* 1992;152(9):1869-1873
49. Hall G, Hedstrom SA, Heimdahl A, Nord CE. Prophylactic administration of penicillin's for endocarditis does not reduce incidence of postextraction bacteremia. *Clin Infect Dis* 1993;17(2):188-194
50. Skiest DJ, Coykendall AL. Prosthetic hip infection related to a dental procedure despite antibiotic prophylaxis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 1995;79(5):661-663
51. Hall G, Heimdahl A, Nord CE. Bacteremia after oral surgery and antibacterial prophylaxis for endocarditis. *Clin Inf Dis* 1999;29(1): 1-8



52. Hanssen AD, Osman DR The use of prophylactic antimicrobial agents during and after hip arthroplasty. *Clin Orthod Relat Res* 1999;369:124-138
53. Lockhart PB, Loven B, Brennan MT, Fox PC. The evidence base for the efficacy of antibiotic prophylaxis in dental practice. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2007;138(4):458-473
54. Bahrani-Mougeot FK, Paster BJ, Coleman S, Ashar J, Barbuto S, Lockhart PB. Diverse and novel oral bacterial species in blood following dental procedures. *J Clin Microbiol* 2008;46(6):2129-2132.
55. Seymour RA, Hogg SD Antibiotics and chemoprophylaxis *Periodontal 2000* 2008;46:80-108
56. DeFroda SF, Lamin E, Gil JF, Sindhu K *et al.* Antibiotic prophylaxis for patients with a history of total joint replacement. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2016;29(4):500-507
57. Bhattacharya S. The facts about penicillin allergy: A review *J Adv Pharm Technol Res* 2010;1(1):11-17
58. Glenny AM, Roberts GJ, Hooper L, Worthington HV. Antibiotics for the prophylaxis of bacterial endocarditis in dentistry *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;(10): CD003813 accessed 19 Dec 2017
59. Seymour RA, Whitworth JM, Martin M. Antibiotic prophylaxis for patients with joint prostheses – still a dilemma for dental practitioners *Brit Dent J* 2003;194(2):649-653
60. The National Heart Foundation of New Zealand Advisory Group Guidelines for the prevention of infective endocarditis associated with dental and other medical interventions. December 2008
61. Antibiotic Expert Groups. Therapeutic Guidelines: antibiotic. Version 15. Melbourne: Therapeutic Guidelines Limited; 2014
62. British National Formulary 70. London: BMJ Group and Pharmaceutical Press; Sept 2015 – Mar 2016. Chap 5 Infection p. 442
63. CDA Committee on Clinical and Scientific Affairs. New CDA position statement on dental patients with total joint replacement. *J Can Dent Assoc* 2013;79:d126 available at <http://www.jcda.ca/article/d126> accessed 21 Jan 2018
64. Watters W, Rethman MP, Hanson NB, Abt E, Anderson PA, Carroll KC, *et al* American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons; American Dental Association. Prevention of orthopaedic implant infection in patients undergoing dental procedures. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2013;21(3):180-9



Antibiotic prophylaxis for patients with prosthetic joint replacements undergoing dental treatment

Code of Practice Advisory Group

The Code of Practice – Antibiotic prophylaxis for patients with prosthetic joint replacements undergoing dental treatment aims to combine the best available evidence in a clinical practice context, and is the result of an extended period of development by the Code of Practice Advisory Group (Antibiotic prophylaxis for patients with prosthetic joint replacements undergoing dental treatment) who donated their time, technical and professional knowledge and expertise in the provision of advice that informs this Code of Practice.

The NZDA wishes to acknowledge and thank the members of the Code of Practice Advisory Group for their significant contribution to the development of this Code of Practice.

Code of Practice Advisory Group Membership (2018) Antibiotic prophylaxis for patients with prosthetic joint replacements undergoing dental treatment

Dr John Edwards	Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery Specialist - Auckland
Dr Richard Everts	Infectious Diseases Specialist and Medical Microbiologist - Nelson
Dr Kim Gear	Oral Medicine Specialist - Auckland
Dr Arthur Morris	Clinical Microbiologist - Auckland
Prof Jean-Claude Theis	Orthopaedic surgeon - Dunedin
Prof Darryl Tong	Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery Specialist - Dunedin
Hugh Trengrove	Associate Director – Research and Policy, NZDA. Auckland

This Code of Practice was developed in consultation with the NZ Orthopaedic Association

Disclaimer

This Code is intended to assist practitioners with making decisions regarding the management of patients with prosthetic joint replacements undergoing dental treatment. The recommendations in this Code are not intended to define a standard of care. Practitioners must exercise professional judgment when using the information contained in this Code of Practice.

This document's sole aim is to summarise the available information in the context of current clinical practice to assist members of the NZDA in matters relating to the management of patients with prosthetic joints who are receiving dental care.

The members of the Code of Practice Advisory Group and the NZDA shall not be liable for any actions arising from the use of, or reliance on, this document.

Code of Practice Approved by NZDA Board	14 April 2018
Annual review and revision	Scheduled March 2020
Annual review and revision	Scheduled March 2021
Expert panel review scheduled	Commence January 2022

